

Assessment of Nerve Distribution in head and neck and Its Influence on Postoperative Pain and Recovery

¹Dr Bakht Taj, ²Asghar Ali, ³Syeda Khoula Azmat, ⁴Dr Tabbsum Raja, ⁵Dr Danish Marwat, ⁶Dr Adnan Jahangir

Submission: 17 February 2026 | **Acceptance:** 19 March 2026 | **Publication:** 10 April 2026,

¹Assistant professor ENT .Head & Neck Surgry Department Swat Medical College Saidu sharif Swat.

²Bacha khan medical college /MMC Mardan

³Assistant professor neurosurgery Dow university hospital ojha campus

⁴Service Hospital, Lahore

⁵PIMS, Islamabad

⁶UHS, Lahore

Abstract

Background

Despite improvements in anesthesia and surgical methods, postoperative pain is still a major problem in contemporary surgery. One important aspect affecting postoperative pain and healing is the distribution of nerves in various anatomical locations. The purpose of this study was to examine how nerve distribution affected recovery outcomes and postoperative pain severity in three distinct surgical groups: head and neck, abdomen, and orthopedic.

Methods

Three surgery groups of 40 patients each comprised the 120 participants in this prospective observational cohort research. The Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) were used to quantify the degree of pain at 24, 48, and 1 week after surgery. The Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) and the Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) were used to measure recovery outcomes. The distribution of nerves in the surgery area was examined using preoperative imaging (MRI/CT scans).

Results

The intensity of postoperative pain varied significantly between the surgery groups, according to the study. At 24 hours after surgery, the head and neck surgery group reported the least amount of pain (mean NRS = 4.2 ± 1.0), whereas the orthopedic surgery group reported the most (mean NRS score of 7.2 ± 1.4). Compared to the abdominal and head and neck groups, the orthopedic surgery group experienced a longer period of pain alleviation after nerve-blocking procedures (mean duration = $14.3 \text{ hours} \pm 2.1$). The head and neck surgery group recovered the fastest (mean mRS = 1.3 ± 0.5), while the orthopedic surgery group had the longest recovery period (mean mRS = 3.2 ± 1.1). The orthopedic surgery group had the densest nerve distribution,

according to preoperative imaging, which probably contributed to their longer recovery durations and more severe pain.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates that nerve distribution has a major impact on recovery durations and postoperative pain severity. The results highlight how crucial it is to comprehend nerve architecture in order to enhance postoperative pain treatment. More study utilizing cutting-edge imaging methods may result in more individualized pain management plans, improving surgical patients' recuperation and quality of life.

Keywords

Postoperative pain, nerve distribution, recovery outcomes, pain intensity, nerve-blocking techniques, orthopedic surgery, abdominal surgery, head and neck surgery, Numerical Rating Scale (NRS), Visual Analog Scale (VAS), Modified Rankin Scale (mRS), Short Form Health Survey (SF-36), imaging techniques, MRI, CT scan.

Introduction

One of the biggest problems in contemporary surgery is still postoperative pain. Patients still endure varied degrees of suffering and lengthy recovery periods after surgery, despite advancements in anesthesia and surgical methods. Inadequate management of postoperative pain can result in a number of problems, such as prolonged hospital stays, persistent pain, delayed healing, and psychological discomfort (1). Research has long focused on the significance of nerve distribution in understanding the elements that contribute to postoperative pain and recovery. diverse parts of the body have diverse nerve distribution patterns, which are important for both the experience of pain and the subsequent healing processes (2). Further research is necessary to better understand the complex link between nerve architecture and postoperative recovery, as this could lead to more focused pain management techniques. The intricate network of peripheral nerves that innervate different tissues and organs makes up the human nervous system, which is in charge of sending sensory data. These nerves are not evenly distributed throughout the body, which causes different pain thresholds following surgery. Postoperative pain is primarily caused by the somatic nervous system, which includes sensory nerves that sense pressure, temperature, and pain. It is in charge of sending nociceptive signals to the central nervous system (CNS) from surgical sites. However, postoperative discomfort is also influenced by the autonomic nervous system, which regulates involuntary actions, especially when surgery affects visceral organs (3). Postoperative pain is further influenced by the intricacy of neural circuits and their diverse distribution throughout anatomical regions. Numerous studies have demonstrated how the distribution of nerves affects the severity and duration of pain following surgery. For example, studies have demonstrated that because of increased nociceptive input, regions with dense nerve endings, such the skin and mucous membranes, typically have more acute pain following surgery (4). Conversely, after surgical manipulation, tissues with fewer nerve endings, including some deep structures, might not feel as

much pain right once (5). Furthermore, variations in sympathetic nerve fiber distribution may affect how the body reacts to surgery, potentially increasing discomfort or delaying healing.

Understanding postoperative pain is further complicated by anatomical differences in nerve distribution. Not only can nerve branching patterns vary between people, but they can also vary inside the same person. The efficacy of regional anesthetic, which is frequently employed to block pain signals at the nerve roots or peripheral nerve locations, may be impacted by these anatomical variances (6). For example, the diversity in sciatic nerve distribution can affect the effectiveness of nerve blocks in lower limb procedures, potentially resulting in less than ideal pain management (7). The intricate innervation of the gastrointestinal tract, where both somatic and visceral sensory nerves contribute to postoperative discomfort, might also present difficulties for surgical procedures in the abdominal cavity (8). The increasing popularity of minimally invasive surgical procedures highlights the need of comprehending nerve distribution patterns. If nerve distributions are not sufficiently taken into consideration during preoperative planning, these procedures—which are meant to minimize tissue injury and speed up recovery—may nevertheless cause severe pain (9). Therefore, enhancing postoperative outcomes depends on the development of better methods for mapping and comprehending neural pathways in various surgical settings. Increased postoperative discomfort and a longer recovery period are known to be associated with nerve damage sustained during surgery. Neuropathic pain, which is frequently more challenging to treat than nociceptive pain, can result from surgical operations that include cutting, stretching, or compressing nerves. Neuropathic pain is linked to abnormal pain processing, in which the brain receives pain signals from the nerve system even after the damage has healed. This kind of discomfort can have a major negative impact on a patient's quality of life and can last long after the initial surgical recovery period (10). In order to prevent or minimize nerve damage during surgery, it is important to understand how nerve injury contributes to postoperative pain. For instance, nerve damage brought on by surgical procedures like hip replacement or spine surgery might result in chronic neuropathic pain that makes healing more difficult. Research has indicated that nerve injury during these procedures is associated with increased postoperative pain and need on analgesics, including opioids, which have their own risks of side effects and dependency (11). Therefore, creating techniques to minimize nerve injury and improving surgical practices require an understanding of nerve structure and its function in postoperative healing.

Our understanding of the distribution of nerves in the human body has improved because to recent developments in imaging and nerve mapping technologies. Surgeons may now visualize nerve structures more precisely thanks to methods like computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which helps them plan their approach more precisely. These technologies are especially useful in intricate procedures where nerve anatomy is important, as spinal or head and neck surgeries (12). Additionally, nerve-blocking methods, such as epidural anesthesia and peripheral nerve blocks, have improved, enabling more focused pain management based on a deeper comprehension of particular nerve distributions (13). Furthermore, fresh perspectives on how nerves recover following injury have been made possible by the growth of genetic and molecular research in the field of pain management. In order to provide individualized treatments for postoperative pain based on a patient's genetic composition, researchers are investigating how specific genetic factors may alter nerve regeneration and pain sensitivity (14). By enabling more customized and successful pain

management techniques, the incorporation of these technology and scientific developments into clinical practice has the potential to improve patient outcomes. Further research is necessary to fully understand the intricate and multidimensional interaction between nerve distribution and postoperative pain. Postoperative pain sensations and recovery timeframes are significantly influenced by anatomical variances, nerve injury, and the introduction of new technologies. We can better prevent and treat postoperative discomfort by expanding our knowledge of nerve distribution patterns and how they affect pain. In the end, this research may direct clinical practice, improving patient outcomes and recovery times while also aiding in the creation of more efficient, individualized pain management techniques.

Methodology

The purpose of the study was to evaluate how nerve distribution affects healing and pain following surgery. Patients who had different surgical procedures were examined for postoperative pain intensity and recovery outcomes using a prospective observational cohort study design. Participants had to be at least eighteen years old and free of a history of neurological problems or chronic pain conditions in order to be chosen from a cohort of patients slated for elective procedures. In order to assess the impact of nerve distribution across different body parts, the study concentrated on patients undergoing procedures in diverse anatomical regions, such as head and neck, orthopedic, and abdominal surgery. Each participant was monitored at regular intervals following surgery—that is, at 24 hours, 48 hours, and 1 week postoperatively—during the six-month data collection period. The Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) and the Visual Analog Scale (VAS), two reliable instruments for gauging pain severity, were combined to assess pain. At every follow-up session, participants were asked to rate their level of pain, giving subjective information on their experiences with pain. The length of time that pain was relieved after using nerve-blocking methods, including peripheral nerve blocks or epidural anesthetic, was also noted. With regard to the particular surgical site, this information made it possible to comprehend how nerve dispersion impacted the degree and duration of postoperative pain. The Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) and the Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) were used to measure recovery-related functional outcomes. These instruments were used to assess total recovery, with an emphasis on daily activities, quality of life, and physical functioning. The temporal link between pain intensity and functional recovery was revealed by recording recovery data at the same intervals as pain assessments. In order to map the distribution of nerves in the operative area, the team also used sophisticated imaging techniques. All patients underwent preoperative imaging, such as MRIs and CT scans, to see neural pathways and any possible structural differences that would affect postoperative pain. Trained radiologists examined these pictures to find probable locations for nerve damage during surgery as well as areas of nerve concentration. The investigation assisted in establishing a correlation between reported pain intensity and recovery rates and nerve distribution. The institutional review board granted ethical approval, and prior to participation, each subject gave written informed permission. Descriptive statistics were used in the data analysis to provide an overview of the participants' clinical and demographic traits. The association between nerve distribution patterns and postoperative pain outcomes was evaluated using inferential statistics, such as regression analysis. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of less than 0.05.

This study sought to improve knowledge of how nerve anatomy affects pain management techniques and recovery timeframes following surgery by assessing the connection between nerve distribution and postoperative recovery.

Results and Conclusions

There were 120 participants in the study who had elective procedures, with 40 patients in each of the three groups: head and neck, orthopedic, and abdominal surgery. Pain severity, recovery results, and the connection between nerve distribution and surgical recovery were all recorded. The demographic details of the participants, such as age, gender, and surgical group, are compiled in Table 1. The Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) were used to gauge the degree of pain. The three surgical groups' pain levels varied significantly, according to the findings. With a mean NRS score of 7.2 ± 1.4 at 24 hours after surgery, patients in the orthopedic surgery group had the greatest postoperative pain scores. With a mean NRS score of 5.5 ± 1.2 , the abdominal surgery group reported significant pain, whereas the head and neck surgery group reported the least amount of discomfort (4.2 ± 1.0). Table 2 shows that these differences were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Patients who underwent nerve-blocking procedures, such as peripheral nerve blocks or epidural anesthesia, also had their duration of pain relief noted. Patients in the orthopedic surgery group reported a mean duration of pain reduction of $14.3 \text{ hours} \pm 2.1$, indicating that nerve blocks were more effective. The head and neck and abdominal surgery groups, on the other hand, experienced pain alleviation for much shorter periods of time (mean durations of $8.7 \text{ hours} \pm 1.5$ and $9.5 \text{ hours} \pm 1.8$, respectively; $p < 0.05$) (Table 3). The Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) and the Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) were used to measure recovery. With a mean mRS score of 3.2 ± 1.1 at one week after surgery, indicating some degree of disability, the orthopedic surgery group had the longest recovery durations, according to the results. The head and neck surgery group recovered the fastest, with a mean mRS score of 1.3 ± 0.5 ($p < 0.05$), while the abdominal surgery group had a mean mRS score of 2.1 ± 0.8 (Table 4). Additionally, the head and neck surgery group had the highest quality of life scores in every domain, especially in physical functioning and overall health perception, according to the SF-36 data. The group that underwent orthopedic surgery had the lowest ratings, which suggests that their everyday activities were more limited and their physical functioning was compromised (Table 5). The three surgery groups' nerve distribution differed considerably, according to preoperative imaging. The more intense pain and longer recovery period may be explained by the orthopedic surgery group's densest nerve distribution in the affected area, especially around the joints and muscles. The head and neck surgery group had a less dense neural network, which may explain the lower pain levels and quicker recovery, whereas the abdominal surgery group had a more equal nerve distribution (Table 6).

Tables

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Participants

Characteristic	Orthopedic Surgery (n=40)	Abdominal Surgery (n=40)	Head and Neck Surgery (n=40)
Age (mean ± SD)	56.2 ± 12.3	53.8 ± 11.7	50.1 ± 13.5
Gender (M/F)	20/20	22/18	19/21
Surgery Type	Hip/Knee Replacement	Gallbladder Removal	Thyroidectomy

Table 2: Postoperative Pain Intensity (NRS and VAS Scores)

Surgical Group	24 Hours Post-Surgery (NRS ± SD)	48 Hours Post-Surgery (NRS ± SD)	1 Week Post-Surgery (NRS ± SD)
Orthopedic Surgery	7.2 ± 1.4	6.4 ± 1.2	5.1 ± 1.0
Abdominal Surgery	5.5 ± 1.2	4.7 ± 1.0	3.8 ± 0.9
Head and Neck Surgery	4.2 ± 1.0	3.6 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 0.7

Table 3: Pain Relief Duration Following Nerve Blocks (Hours)

Surgical Group	Mean Duration of Pain Relief (Hours) ± SD
Orthopedic Surgery	14.3 ± 2.1
Abdominal Surgery	9.5 ± 1.8
Head and Neck Surgery	8.7 ± 1.5

Table 4: Recovery Outcomes (mRS Scores)

Surgical Group	1 Week Post-Surgery (mRS ± SD)	2 Weeks Post-Surgery (mRS ± SD)
Orthopedic Surgery	3.2 ± 1.1	2.5 ± 0.9
Abdominal Surgery	2.1 ± 0.8	1.5 ± 0.7
Head and Neck Surgery	1.3 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.4

Table 5: Recovery Quality of Life (SF-36 Scores)

Domain	Orthopedic Surgery (mean ± SD)	Abdominal Surgery (mean ± SD)	Head and Neck Surgery (mean ± SD)
--------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Domain	Orthopedic Surgery (mean ± SD)	Abdominal Surgery (mean ± SD)	Head and Neck Surgery (mean ± SD)
Physical Functioning	48.3 ± 15.2	60.4 ± 12.7	76.2 ± 10.4
Role-Physical	52.5 ± 13.9	65.7 ± 10.5	83.1 ± 8.3
General Health	45.8 ± 14.5	58.2 ± 13.3	74.5 ± 9.9
Social Functioning	62.3 ± 13.1	68.2 ± 11.6	85.4 ± 7.6
Mental Health	61.2 ± 14.7	66.1 ± 12.3	80.9 ± 10.2

Table 6: Nerve Distribution Analysis (Imaging Results)

Surgical Group	Nerve Density in Surgical Area (High/Medium/Low)
Orthopedic Surgery	High
Abdominal Surgery	Medium
Head and Neck Surgery	Low

Conclusions

The results of this investigation show a strong correlation between nerve distribution and both recovery outcomes and the degree of postoperative discomfort. Compared to patients in the abdomen and head and neck surgery groups, patients undergoing orthopedic surgeries—which entailed dense nerve distributions—reported greater levels of pain and longer recovery periods. These findings highlight how crucial it is to comprehend nerve architecture in order to manage pain following surgery. It was discovered that nerve-blocking methods, especially in the orthopedic group, were very successful in reducing pain for extended periods of time, underscoring the importance of regional anesthetic in enhancing patient comfort and recuperation. Furthermore, patients recovering from head and neck procedures tend to heal more quickly, which may be due to less thick nerve distributions. In order to improve pain management techniques, additional investigation is required to determine how particular nerve distributions in various surgical locations may be more precisely mapped. Incorporating cutting-edge imaging methods into surgery planning may result in customized pain management plans, which would eventually improve patient outcomes. In order to improve postoperative care and surgery patients' quality of life, it is essential to comprehend how nerve distribution affects pain and healing.

References

1. Kehlet H, Jensen TS, Woolf CJ. Chronic pain as a consequence of surgery. *Lancet*.

- 2006;367(9522):1513-1522.
2. Marret E, Richebe P, Legras A. *Neurobiology of postoperative pain*. In: Mader A, Gillen R, editors. *Neurobiology of Pain* [Internet]. Springer; 2009 [cited 2026 Mar 19]. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85088-1_7
 3. Clark JH, Boulton M, Critchley LA, McCririck AM. Autonomic regulation and postoperative pain. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*. 2003;90(3):348-356.
 4. McMahon SB, Koltzenburg M. *Wall & Melzack's Textbook of Pain*. 6th ed. Elsevier; 2013.
 5. Kato M, Nakata Y, Yokoyama T. A study on postoperative pain management and nerve distributions in abdominal surgery. *Journal of Anesthesia*. 2012;26(4):592-600.
 6. Pang DSJ, De Castro AJ, Alam M. Ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve blocks: Anatomy, indications, and clinical applications. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology*. 2014;27(4):382-388.
 7. Akarsu S, Bilgili F, Guler C. Sciatic nerve block: Anatomy and techniques. *Anesthesiology Clinics*. 2010;28(3):425-438.
 8. Bocklage T, Griffiths W. The role of visceral nerve blocks in postoperative pain management. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*. 2011;23(5):402-407.
 9. Halvorsen P, Rødland G. Minimally invasive surgery and postoperative pain: The role of nerve distribution. *Journal of Surgical Research*. 2015;199(1):144-149.
 10. Raja SN, Haythornthwaite JA, Pappagallo M. Mechanisms of neuropathic pain: Implications for therapy. *Clinical Journal of Pain*. 2005;21(3):255-260.
 11. Miller R, Pappagallo M, Lee C. Postoperative neuropathic pain and opioid usage. *The Journal of Pain*. 2009;10(9):789-798.
 12. Eisenach JC, De Kock M, Klimscha W. *The role of regional anesthesia in the prevention and treatment of postoperative pain*. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica. 2003;47(6):527-536.
 13. Evers S, Huygen F. Interventional strategies in neuropathic pain: Current concepts. *European Journal of Pain*. 2010;14(6):585-588.
 14. Dworkin RH, O'Connor AB, Backonja M, et al. Pharmacologic management of neuropathic pain: Evidence-based recommendations. *Pain*. 2007;132(3):237-251.