

Biomechanics in Orthopaedic Surgery: Understanding the Role of Biomechanical Principles in Surgical Planning and Implant Design

¹Dr Muhammad Azeem Akhund, ²Dr. Asim Naeem, ³Ali Raza, ⁴Umar Raza, ⁵Mobeen ALI, ⁶Mohib Ali

Submission: 23 January 2026 | **Acceptance:** 14 February 2026 | **Publication:** 11 March 2026,

¹Associate professor department of orthopedic surgery and traumatology PUMHS W Nawabshah

²District Orthopaedic surgeon / HOD orthopaedic deptt DHQ Teaching hospital Mardan

³PIMS

⁴PIMS

⁵PIMS

⁶PIMS

Abstract

Background: Biomechanics is a basis of any orthopaedic surgery because it acquaints with mechanical responses of bones, joints, and implants. In this way, practitioners and surgeons can provide an ideal surgical plan, better implant design, and higher effectiveness in patients' treatment. However, there are continued difficulties in applying biomechanical improvements in practical clinical applications, which still require a broad assessment.

Aim: The purpose of this analysis is to identify and explicate the functions biomechanics in the surgical and implant design processes pertaining to alignment, stress distribution, and patient outcome. Also, it examines how biomechanics affect implants functionality and duration of the implants.

Method: Both a retrospective and a prospective design were used to evaluate the efficiency of the operations and sturdiness of the implants working in cases that implied the use of biomechanical instruments and paradigms. Evaluative types of models including Finite Element Analysis (FEA) and experimental techniques including stress/strain gauges and load carrying capabilities were used. MRI and CT scans form the basis of surgical planning, the biomechanical properties of the implant materials and designs were also investigated on mechanical and clinical levels.

Results: In surgical planning biomechanical principles were applied to enhance stress distribution, alignment and weight bearing. Custom individualized implants derived from 3D printing were significantly more stable and combined better with tissue in comparison to conventional designs. The findings also showed that implant alignment, choice of materials and more particularly the degree of

implant customization were seen to be determinants of survivorship. The comparisons produced to show better outcomes of these biomechanics-based approaches in the fewer complications and improved functional outcomes.

Conclusion: This study makes it possible to stress out that the contribution of biomechanics to orthopaedic surgery is in the enhancement of surgical procedures, and the development of implants. However, sustained interdisciplinary work and further research into novel concepts, as well as the customization of implants and the use of biomechanical information in real time, will be crucial to taking progress even further in the field.

Keywords: Biomechanics, Orthopaedic Surgery, Surgical Planning, Implant Design, Finite Element Analysis, 3D-Printed Implants, Patient-Specific Solutions, Stress Distribution, Load-Bearing Capacity, Clinical Outcomes.

Introduction

Orthopaedic surgery is a subspecialty of surgery that deals with the correction of injuries and diseases of the musculoskeletal system of the body. Orthopaedic treatments are mainly aimed at improving the function, decreasing pain and, in general, improving the quality of life in patients. However, it will be seen that biomechanics form the basis for the success of many orthopaedic interventions despite the central importance of surgical technique and technology. In the aspect of orthopaedics biomechanics is defined as the interface between mechanics and biology. It analyses the manner in which forces affect the human body and the subsequent effect it has on motion, balance and strength of structures [1].

In orthopaedics biomechanics refers to the study of how muscles and bones of the body act and react to physiological and pathophysiological processes. It enables the surgeons and the researcher to evaluate how the forces like compression, tension, shear and torsion act on the bones and cartilage joints. It is crucial for preserving bone health since the musculoskeletal structure might be sensitive because of an injury, degenerative diseases or birth defect. Thus, biomechanical concepts can assist orthopaedic surgeons in decision making processes leading to the highest patient benefit, reduced risk of postoperative complications and improved long term prognosis.

Biomechanics are important decision-making tools for the surgeon or relevant practitioners and professionals in the planning, methods to be employed while performing surgery, type of implants to use in surgery and subsequent rehabilitation. In particular, biomechanical assessment aids in determining the implant form and its location to restore the correct biomechanical function and load distribution of the joint in question. Likewise in fracture fixation, awareness of biomechanical principles allows the use of appropriate materials and techniques that conform to the needs of the particular patient's anatomy and

function. However, biomechanics does not only apply to the surgical planning stage but is significant to implant designing. It is the force behind the creation of composite materials and structures that are artificially bone and cartilage like but durable and bone and cartilage friendly [2].

Biomechanics have been incorporated into the orthopaedic surgery practice, making engineering complement clinical surgery. Former methods of Orthopaedic therapies were based a great deal on assumptions and guesswork. But now with corrected biomechanical knowledge, it is potentially possible to predict behaviour of musculoskeletal system and thus create better treatment plans. By operating on an interdisciplinary level, there has been considerable advancement in both implant technology, as well as surgical and rehabilitation practice.

Some of the first concerns biomechanics helps solve include implant performance. Loosening, wear, or mechanical incompatibility of the implant still poses a major clinical problem in orthopaedics. Through biomechanical analysis, the researchers can localize specific locations that can enhance the implant's resistance to load bearing in relation to physiological forces thereby reducing the chances of failure and increasing its working life. For example, the advance in materials with optimal modulus of elasticity reduce stress shielding in which an implant take most of the mechanical load, which results in bone resorption and implant weakening. Biomechanics also helps in fabricating proper prosthetic devices that mimic the regular movement and stability of joints and thus, providing maximum comfort to the patient [3].

Further, biomechanics provides value in the surgical process by providing enhanced accuracy and personalized approaches. Due to the detailed imaging methods and molecular visualization, the surgeries can be performed virtually; the biomechanical consequences of different surgical strategies can be reviewed. This makes it easy to choose the right intervention from the most appropriate amongst numerous strategies based on the patient's anatomy and status. Further, biomechanics is applied to the development of rehabilitation guidelines to match the biomechanical loads when performing exercises and receiving therapies following the surgery.

According to the main research question the goal of this undertaking is to explain the function of biomechanics in orthopaedic surgical planning. Surgical planning is a process of making a number of decisions concerning the successful outcome of a specific surgery: the types of surgery to be employed, the orientation and positioning of the implants and, ultimately, the distribution of load in the most efficient manner across the involved skeleton. This study looks into the biomechanical facts relevant to the case, to remind people that improving the knowledge of mechanical principles, make the surgical operations more accurate and effective.

Another objective is to assess the role of biomechanics principles in implant design and patient rehabilitation. Implants also must function not only to replace the organ's function lost to the patient but also co adapt to the natural biomechanics of the body. These are wearing resistance, compatibility of materials used with those that are in contact with them, and mimicking joint movements. Furthermore, the

purpose of this research will be to investigate how biomechanics driven surgical approaches and implant innovations help in achieving better and faster rehabilitation, less pain, and better range of motion [4].

In attaining these objectives, this study seeks to highlight the role of biomechanics for being the corner stone in orthopaedic surgery. It aims at fostering continued inter professional engagement between engineers, surgeon and researcher in delivering better quality care to patients through achieving better results. As a result of a widespread analysis of biomechanics and efficient use in the context of this research paper, valuable contributions to future orthopaedic science are made and the groundwork for further enhancements in the planning of surgery and development of implant designs and replacement is laid [5].

Materials and Methods

Evaluation of biomechanics on orthopaedic surgical planning and implant design in this study uses both the retrospective research approach and prospective research approach. The retrospective aspect of the study entails the assessment of other cases where biomechanical concepts were applied directly or where the application was implied based on patient and implant results and methods as well as observed surgical strategies. The prospective component involves following the ongoing cases where the advanced biomechanical models are used in pre-operative planning and post-operative evaluation. Through use of such methodologies, the study gives a holistic approach that looks at how biomechanics affects other orthopaedic operations.

Particular emphasis is placed on identification of clinical cases involving various surgical procedures including both hip and knee arthroplasties, fracture fixations, and surgical interventions on spinal system. Each of these surgeries were selected based on the fact that their outcomes depend on biomechanical principles. Further, the implant designs considered within this work are those typically utilised within these operations: cobalt-chromium alloy and titanium implants for joint replacement procedures and bioresorbable materials for fracture management. The research also focuses on the biomechanics analysis of new implant designs including the 3D printed and patient specific implants used in health facilities [6].

The primary research approach in the paper is biomechanical assessment, which encompasses analytical models and experimental techniques in accessing mechanical characteristics and performance of the musculoskeletal system and implants. Some common analytical tools are Finite Element Analysis (FEA), an analytical tool which pre-forms stress testing on bones, joints and Implants. Through FEA, the study assesses stress-strain relationships, deformation modes and failure points in the natural system and the system when implanted. For instance, FEA aids in indicating regions of high stress points around an implant that probably will cause loosening or wearing.

Besides analytical methods, experimental techniques are employed for confirming the analytical results and offering practical observations on biomechanical response. These are mechanical tests carried out on human cadaveric samples and artificial specimens in order to determine their material characteristics.

Stress distribution tests reproduce the physiological load conditions on implants applied to bodily movements like walking and running to determine how effective the implants are against such forces. Thus, the study absorbs the advantages of both the qualitative and quantitative approaches requisite for a comprehensive and multifaceted direction like biomechanics in orthopaedics.

Surgery planning is one of the important stages in which biomechanical properties are used to make appropriate decisions. Pre-operatively planning is the area of interest in this research and specifically includes advanced imaging technology and biomechanical measures. MRI and CT scans to whom the model of the patient's anatomy and topography in which the operation will take place is created. These models are then examined for biomechanical abnormalities including joint malignant, bone deformities or imbalance of load rates [7].

The last technical aspect that we as surgeons would necessarily consider in the planning process is the selection of the case. Clients are selected depending on certain biomechanical issues such as osteoarthritis which requires joint replacement surgery, or fractures which require long periods of immobilization. For example, in reconstruction for hip dysplasia, there is an emphasis on correct assessment of location of the center of rotation and load sharing axis in the hip joint before surgery. In spinal operations, stability of the spinal column and mechanical loads and effects of intended operations like, fusion or disc replacement are evaluated.

This paper also looks at how navigational aids and robotic solutions which are employed during surgery to minimize errors improve accuracy due to biomechanical feedback. These tools help the surgeon to place the implant in such a way that it is well aligned to other structures and especially is more secure from falling off or misaligning. Through a critical appraisal of these techniques, the present work contributes to understanding how biomechanics can enhance the precision and personalized nature of surgeries.

Another parameter of implant design is another key focus point of biomechanics in that they are designed to integrate with the musculoskeletal structure. This work focuses on the use of mechanical properties of material, geometrical factors affecting implants and assess the biomechanical effectiveness of implants. The mechanical properties under consideration include the modulus of elasticity which determines how well an implant meets bone mechanical requirements. Any value that takes the implant's modulus of elasticity far from that of bone will shield the bone by denying it the necessary stress and instead cause bone resorption, leading to implant failure. Another property boast of these new materials is wear resistance, a critical factor owed to the fact that joint replacements entail implantation of surfaces that need to slide against each other ceaselessly [8].

Geometry is equally important since the design and alignment of an implant the conformity of implant and surrounding tissues greatly influence the mechanical properties of the implant and its interface with the tissue environments. The research assesses how technologies of precision manufacturing, for instance, 3 D printing are employed to make the implants that match the patient's anatomic and biomechanical

needs. For example, porosity may be included in the structural architecture to allow the bone to grow into the implant in order to increase the implant stability. Further, the manners of the surface coatings like hydroxyapatite to enhance osseointegration and minimize loosening are also examined in the study.

Analysing these variables, this work contributes to understanding how the application of biomechanically designed implants contributes to enhancing the success rate and sturdiness of the operation. It also evaluates how much of some factors, for instance, strength to sacrifice in order to achieve more of another factor like

Biomechanically supported surgical planning and implant design are assessed based on a sophisticated system of outcome measures. Orthopaedic procedures are measured by the rate of surgical success, which involves evaluating post surgery additional issues like infections, laxity, or misalignment of implanted parts. Longevity and failure points associated with dental implants are analyzed chronologically with reference to such aspects such as wear, fracture or mechanical mismatch. These metrics can be a major source of important information to assess biomechanically driven interventions' effectiveness in the long run.

Other useful measures are patient functional outcomes due to the use of scoring systems such as the Harris Hip Score or the Knee Society Score. These tools quantify pain, flexibility, and such aspects of daily life as walking and lifting objects; as such, these instruments connect biomechanical treatments to the patient outcomes. Another is tracking the rehabilitation activities to determine the biomechanical factors affecting the rehabilitation span and course. For instance, the study looks at the question whether implants that closely resemble the natural joint mechanics facilitate faster rehabilitation and enhanced levels of mobility than designs that do not have such characteristics [9].


Through a comprehensive evaluation of these outcome metrics, the study offers a thorough perspective on how biomechanics effects orthopaedic surgeries and implant performance. This multilevel approach makes it possible not only to derive practical findings which meet the standards of scientific science but also opens the path to further advancements in the delivery of clinical treatments.

Results

Mechanical and structural analysis is an important part of the surgical planning, which presents the biomechanical characteristics of possible surgical procedures. However, biomechanical assessments of human movements: One of the main assessable objectives of biomechanical assessment is the alterations of stress burdening the tissue subsequent to the surgery. It is for these reasons that alteration of these variables is crucial for purposes of estimating the pro-longed longevity of the implants, the condition of the bones and the overall effectiveness of the surgical procedure. For example, repair of abnormal growth in a limb or implantation of an orthopaedic appliance changes the manner in which forces are applied on the relative area. A good surgery organizes the stress evenly so that the implant cannot fail or cause fractures to neighbouring bones [10].

Because biomechanics is a sub-domain of biomechanics, we know that it also incorporates information about post-operative alignment and weight-bearing capabilities. Better positioning is required for appliance functionality to be restored but also for patient’s comfort and ability to move around. Outcome measurements more related to biomechanics include the patient’s ability to bear weight and walk and return to normal life and activities, all of which can help measure the effectiveness of biomechanical interventions. Since physical practice goes beyond the operating theatre after surgery is done, machineries and tissues practice can enable surgeon to fine tune on the working techniques.


Metric	Post-Surgery Outcome
Stress Distribution Changes	Balanced stress distribution reduces implant failure risk.
Alignment Improvements	Enhanced mobility and reduced discomfort.
Weight-Bearing Capacity	Faster return to normal gait and activities.



It is worth saying that the manufacturing of the implants is a critical factor in determining surgical procedure outcome and the satisfaction of patients. A good implant matches well clinically, mechanically and biologically with other tissues in the body. It is evidenced that implant design parameters that include geometry, microstructure and material characteristics have significant influence on patients' recovery profiles. For instance, there has been an attempt to design implants that have improved surface characteristics to enhance the bone directive connections hence preventing implants from loosening or rejection. The mechanical characteristics of implants – their strength, stiffness and durability, as well as their resistance to wear in the context of this factor – are also significant. Newer materials like titanium alloys and bioactive ceramics are also preferred because it enhances the properties of the bone. But these materials also promote bone that is remodeling and regeneration in addition to giving the requisite structural support. Critiques of such an implant demonstrate that geometrical adaptation for the implant or incorporating the use of 3D printed part improves recovery and user satisfaction [11].

Design Factor	Impact on Performance
Geometry and Texture	


	Promotes osseointegration and stability.
Material Properties	Mimics natural bone, enhancing compatibility.
Customization Options	Improves fit and patient-specific recovery outcomes.



A statistical analysis remains useful as a basis for proving efficiency in surgical procedures and the implantation of materials. Comparisons of one technique with another offers knowledge of factors fundamental to achieving improved results. For example, methods that affect the injury size or speed of tissue repair are correlated with a lower complication incidence and improved functional recovery. In addition, such models aid in estimating key independent variables with large impacts on successful surgical outcomes. Discrete variables which include age, bone mass density and patient’s co-morbid condition are used to assess effect on recovery. Thus, with the help of such characteristics, surgeons will

be able to work out more effective treatment programs, which means achieving higher results. Moreover, scientific probability and likelihood comparisons of implants work rate- including failure, revision, or complication rates- further the optimization of implant design and function through a loop of continual enhancement [12].

Variable	Influence on Outcome
Patient Age	Affects bone remodeling and healing rates.
Bone Density	Determines implant stability and longevity.
Surgical Technique	Correlates with recovery time and complication rates.



Discussion

The findings of this work show how an understanding of biomechanics can lead to the improvement of orthopaedic surgical visualization and implant development. Surgical interventions, combined with more advanced biomechanical analyses, allowed postoperative better limb realignment, decreased uneven loading, and enhanced weight-bearing results. These outcomes illustrate how knowledge of biomechanics underpins accurate decisions about choosing surgical procedures, implant placement and appropriate management during rehabilitation. For example, during joint arthroplasty, biomechanical instruments provided the proper positioning of implants thus avoiding possible adverse reactions including wear and loosening of the implant. Likewise, the preservation or reconstruction of natural load-bearing axes during corrective osteotomies has brought out biomechanical modelling as key to long-term functional results [13].

These findings are in concordance with other works identifying biomechanics' role in orthopaedic outcomes, when compared with prior research. Literature review has testified the fact that simulations prior to surgeries using finite element computations and similar techniques improve the effectiveness of the operation and durability of the implants. For instance, investigations on the total knee arthroplasty have revealed that optimal mechanical design considerably minimizes stigmatized morbidities including

malalignment and polyethylene wear. While this research provides additional support for those conclusions, it also provided information on the success of 3D printed patient specific implants, the use of which has recently been explored as a solution to more challenging cases. By ensuring the enhanced fit, stability, and incorporation of the implants into the spinal structure, market value for individualized orthopaedic treatment was supported by these versatile implants [14].

Biomechanics utilizes in orthopaedic surgery is diverse and can significantly improve overall practice. In surgical planning biomechanics serves as the mechanism for assessing the mechanical outcome of different surgeries with the purpose of individualized treatment. New bioimages integrated with computational models assist in surgical planning for visualization of stress and joint movements before the surgery. These tools assist surgeons in manner that is very proper to ensure that there is right placement or orientation to prevent more concerning complications after operations. For example, biomechanical analysis in spinal fusion surgery helps to insert pedicle screws and rods and distribute the load between them to avoid failure of the implanted hardware.

In implant design biomechanical integration is critical so as to come up with a material and geometry that will mirror that of the bone and joint systems. This paper's results suggest that there is a requirement to use materials with the elasticity of bone; therefore, titanium alloys may be employed to avoid stress shielding and to favor implant incorporation. Also, the use of 3D-printed implants must also be commended, as can help to solve patient's individual anatomical and biomechanical problems. With the additive manufacturing technology, implants can be tailor made to match patient demographics; required geometries and porous structures ensures mechanical interlocking and improved stability and longevity [15].

From these findings, the following recommendations for subsequent implant designs can be drawn. First, the availability of 3D printing technology should be increased and more customizations should be created because it will be useful for more complicated situations when child has deformities or other individual peculiarities of body structure. Second, implants should utilize wear resistant material and coatings to reduce possibility of particles release and inflammatory reactions. Third, prosthesis designs should have characteristics that favour osseointegration, for instance they can have micro or macro surface features in order to minimise cases of revisions [16].

Despite the fact that this study has shown how biomechanical principles can help enhance surgical and implant outcomes, a number of challenges and limitation requires to be met. Specifically, one major limitation is based on the examination procedures used in biomechanical experimental models and simulations. Although tools such as FEA and others are useful, they remain only as good as the data used and the assumptions made. For instance, models that are used in FEA take some other properties of the bone and implant and assume them to be close to real conditions, which often may not be the case. Furthermore, the lack of consistency in the biomechanical modelling methods used in the studies makes it hard to conduct a cross study comparison.

Prevailing issues are also noted in the diversity of patient body anatomy and biomechanics, which pose immense difficulties in creating implants and surgical approaches that can be effective for the broad population. Biomaterials used in implants can be affected by variations in bone mass and structure, joint congruity and biomechanics of loading. Other issues include implant design, materials, biocompatibility and fabrication processes where patient specific solutions like 3D printing of implants present some of the solutions though they are expensive and scarcely available [17].

However, there are some issues concerns clinical implementation of biomechanical concepts including training and resource constraints. Limited number of orthopaedic surgeons have access to super modern imaging equipment, computational modelling software, and three-dimensional printing equipment. Furthermore, the implementation of these tools into standard care can be time consuming, expensive and labour intensive. To make sure that more patient benefit from biomechanics, it is important to deal with these barriers.

Such a progress could be achieved in the future by the following promising innovations that can boost the use of biomechanics even more in the field of orthopaedics. One area that is widely believed to be ripe for development right now is that of personalized surgical planning. With integration of complex imaging, required computations and AI sports real-time biomechanical assessment during surgery and altering the procedure based on intraoperative finding. For example, robotic-assisted surgery systems could include biomechanical feedback of tissue characteristics, actions of adjacent structures with real time, unparalleled accuracy in orientation and positioning of implants [18].

A rich opportunity lies in the sphere of 3D printing technology. Employing advanced material science, it is possible to design and develop biodegradable or bioactive implants that not only match the mechanical characteristics of bone tissue but also stimulate further tissue development and healing. These could be used for treating fractures, joint replacement and spinal disorders with an integrated approach that mimics physiological functions of the human body.

The introduction of these improvements will require a strong team effort from engineers, surgeons and researchers. Multidisciplinary cooperation may assist in counteracting the issue of variability in biomechanics in patients and enhance the idea and usage of individualization throughout work. Furthermore, future studies on biomechanically optimized implant design and their relatively long-term outcomes will serve as valuable information to control and develop the current techniques.

It is invaluable to realize that application of biomechanics in orthopaedic surgery is still advancing fast, and the changes are revolutionary. By considering the existing issues and utilizing different recent technologies, the further development of the field is possible and enhance the patient care, as well as the achievements of orthopaedics as a branch of medicine that aims to provide highly accurate, specific, and novel approaches to patient treatment.

Conclusion

Thus, this study highlights that biomechanics has a central part in revolutionizing orthopedics surgery through improving surgical planning as well as implant design. The results thus illustrate that biomechanical concepts integrate stress distribution and alignment to come up with better weight-bearing bears outcomes, and therefore increased successful surgeries and longer implant durability. On a clinical level, biomechanics means individualized treatment, minimization of adverse effects, and shortening of rehabilitation time that directly leads to patient's quality of life enhancement. The paper also emphasizes the need to involve engineers actively in creating or finding, along with orthopedic surgeons, biomechanically optimal solutions. Lastly, there is a discussion of the need to conduct more research into the field and to create more advanced technologies in order to improve accuracy, patient results, and the intraoperative quality in orthopedic surgery: theoretical operative planning, 3D printing, and biomechanical feedback.

References

- [1] G. R. J. M.D., "Suture Augmentation in Orthopaedic Surgery Offers Improved Time-Zero Biomechanics and Promising Short-Term Clinical Outcomes,"*Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1357-1365, 2023.
- [2] M. O. Heller, "Chapter 32 - Finite element analysis in orthopedic biomechanics,"*Human Orthopaedic Biomechanics*, pp. 637-658, 2022.
- [3] V. Apostolopoulos, "Biomechanical analysis of all-polyethylene total knee arthroplasty on periprosthetic tibia using the finite element method,"*Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 220, p. 106834, 2022.
- [4] A. E. J. M.D., "Hip Capsular Management in Patients With Femoroacetabular Impingement or Microinstability: A Systematic Review of Biomechanical Studies,"*Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 2642-2654, 2021.
- [5] R. Zdero, "Biomechanical properties of artificial bones made by Sawbones: A review,"*Medical Engineering & Physics*, vol. 118, p. 104017, 2023.
- [6] Y. Alkhalfan, "Investigation and management of diabetic foot osteomyelitis: An update for the foot and ankle orthopaedic surgeon,"*Journal of Clinical Orthopaedics and Trauma*, vol. 48, p. 102330, 2024.
- [7] K. K. Alaneme, "Computational biomechanical and biodegradation integrity assessment of Mg-based biomedical devices for cardiovascular and orthopedic applications: A review,"*International Journal*

of Lightweight Materials and Manufacture, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 251-266, 2022.

- [8] A. H. A. Wahab, "Biomechanical evaluation of three different configurations of external fixators for treating distal third tibia fracture: Finite element analysis in axial, bending and torsion load," *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, vol. 127, p. 104062, 2020.
- [9] C. Oefner, "Reporting checklist for verification and validation of finite element analysis in orthopedic and trauma biomechanics," *Medical Engineering & Physics*, vol. 91, pp. 25-32, 2021.
- [10] B. Gil, "Wireless implantable bioelectronics with a direct electron transfer lactate enzyme for detection of surgical site infection in orthopaedics," *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, vol. 263, p. Bruno Gil , 2024.
- [11] M. F. Alexander J. Hoffer M.D., "Hip Circumferential Labral Reconstruction Provides Similar Distractive Stability to Labral Repair After Cam Over-Resection in a Biomechanical Model," *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 2024.
- [12] B. Mahapatra, "Biomechanical analysis of various internal fracture fixation devices used for treating femoral neck fractures: A comparative finite element analysis," *Injury*, vol. 55, no. 10, p. 111717, 2024.
- [13] D. J. K. M.D., "Labral Augmentation With Either Iliotibial Band Allograft or Dermis Allograft Perform Similarly Regarding Peak Force, Displacement, and Work to Labral Repair in Suction Seal Restoration: A Biomechanical Study," *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, vol. 40, no. 10, pp. 2575-2584, 2024.
- [14] X.-H. D. M.D., "Incomplete Histologic Healing and Diminished Biomechanical Strength of Meniscus-Bone Interface After Medial Meniscus Posterior Root Transosseous Repair in a Goat Model," *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 2024.
- [15] D. Leibbrandt, "Assessing biomechanics and associated factors in individuals with patellofemoral pain in a clinical setting: A qualitative study based on interviews with expert clinicians," *The Knee*, vol. 34, pp. 178-186, 2022.
- [16] D. S. T. S. MD, "Biomechanical Consequences of Proximal Screw Placement in Minimally Invasive Surgery for Hallux Valgus Correction," *The Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 672-679, 2024.
- [17] R. Agarwal, "Leveraging ultrasonic actuation during inclined orthopaedic bone drilling: An

experimental and histological study," *Applied Acoustics*, vol. 211, p. 109520, 2023.

- [18] S. P. MD, "An Overview of Machine Learning in Orthopedic Surgery: An Educational Paper," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 1938-1942, 2023.