

## Innovative Surgical Techniques and the Rise of Minimally Invasive Surgery: Transforming Modern Healthcare and Enhancing Patient Outcomes

<sup>1</sup>Dr Fida Hussain, <sup>2</sup>Dr Imran Asim, <sup>3</sup>Dr Zubair Ahmad, <sup>4</sup>Dr Shahzada Amir Ahmed Babar, <sup>5</sup>Dr Asim mehmood, <sup>6</sup>Dr Niamatullah

**Submission:** 14 January 2026 | **Acceptance:** 31 January 2026 | **Publication:** 22 February 2026

<sup>1</sup>Chief Surgeon Allama Iqbal Teaching Hospital Dera Ghazi Khan.

<sup>2</sup>FCPS, Senior Registrar (surgery) Allama Iqbal Teaching Hospital Dera Ghazi Khan.

<sup>3</sup>Consultant Surgeon Allama Iqbal Teaching Hospital Dera Ghazi Khan.

<sup>4</sup>Associate professor general surgery Bolan Medical College Quetta.

<sup>5</sup>Associate professor Anatomy Suleman Roshan medical college Tando Adam.

<sup>6</sup>Associate Professor Surgery Department mti lrh Peshawar.

### Abstract

**Background:** Surgical revolution has increased gradually over the last three decennary, intersect on a central aim to take safe, accurate treatment with the least possible surgical footmark. Minimally intrusive surgery encircles laparoscopic, endoscopic, and other robotic-assisted approach which now command this ambit. This article crucially studies the development, clinical performance, and systems-level effect of MIS, query of a central question which is How long has MIS transformed modern health-care and where is it coming next?

**Aim:** An account synthesis of 236 English-language studies was taken forward. Databases searched involved PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and also Cochrane CENTRAL. Irregular controlled trials, large group studies, and meta-analyses contrast MIS with open surgery across 6 specialties were ordered.

**Results:** Over specialties, MIS lessen the post-operative pain scores by 35–65%, reduces the hospital length of stay by 1.8 days on average, half of operative-site infectious rates, and lowers signify blood loss by 160 mL per stud. Technological empowers high-resolution 3D optics, carpus instruments, haptic response, and supplemented-reality location and continuously enhance accuracy while maintaining or improvement of oncological margins in cancer surgeries.

**Conclusions:** MIS has again defined the “standard of care.” Future development will flexible on cost segregation, impartial access, and combination of AI-driven decision help, ending the loop between pre-surgical planning and real-time intra-surgical guidelines.

**Keywords:** Surgery, MIS, Post-operative, oncological

## Introduction



Surgery has been ongoing a keystone of latest medicine, evolved around the over centuries to set off more accurate, effective, and patient-control center [1]. In recent decennium, one of the most life changing shifts in surgical practices have been the development and extensive assumption of minimally intrusive surgery. occasional open surgery, with its enlarged incisions and brief recovery periods, has frequently been changed in different specialties by approach that use smaller incisions, technical instruments, and latest imaging technologies to show operations with least trauma to the patient [2]. The base of MIS lies in improvement of patient's results by decreasing the physiological stress and post-surgical complications, therefore increasing recovery and decreasing the burden on healthcare systems. The adventure of MIS starts with the first laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the late 1990s, a development shows that it illustrates how internal methods could be performed safely and more successfully through small slit by using a camera and technical tools [3]. Since now, MIS has widened up to include laparoscopic, thoraco-scopic, endoscopic, and robotic-assistant surgeries linked various disciplines, involving general surgery, gynecology, urology, thoracic surgery, orthopedics, and neuro-surgery.



Patients go through MIS usually experience less hospital stays, less post-operative pain, quick return to normal activities, less complications, and best improving outcomes [4]. Technological interventions have

remotely move the growth of MIS. Increased-definition cameras, 3D visibility, enunciate instruments, and robotic surgical fieldworks have improved operative precision and widened the capabilities of MIS beneath that it was once belief possible [5]. In spite of the benefits, challenges contained in terms of evaluate, cost, trainings, and impartial distribution, specifically in low-resource changes [6]. This article aims to find out the development of imaginative surgical techniques, specifically MIS, and assess their impact on patient results and health-care systems [7]. By studying the modern evidence and debating the turned up technologies, we aim to provide a complete outlook on how MIS is modifying unique surgical care.

## Methodology

This study was held by using a detailed and systematic approach to highlight, assess, and arrange evidence from already published study on minimally intrusive surgery and related surgical interventions. Multiple electronic information was searched, involving PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and also Cochrane CENTRAL, for articles which is published between January 2020 and March 2022. The search study relates with controlled vocabulary and open ended-text keywords relates to the MIS, like “minimally intrusive surgery,” “laparoscopic surgery,” “robotic surgery,” “endoscopic surgery,” “surgical interventions,” and “patient results.” The company criteria are linked on high-quality evidence, especially in randomized controlled trials, probable and retroactive cohort studies with large sizes of sample, and systematic studies or meta-analyses contrasting MIS with standard open surgery in relation with various surgical specialties. Studies involved in the study which had to report minimum one relevant result like post-surgical pain, time period of hospital stay, rate of complication, mortality rate, or cost-efficacy. Articles simply describing surgical strategies without clinical results, pediatric based study, and other case reports were exit out. Two independent persons conducted data extraction strategy to make sure the accuracy and dependability. Data extracted have design of stud, patient demographical approach, type of surgical procedure, intercede details, results measures, and key findings. Inconsistency were solved out with the help of discussion or consultation with a third person.

## Results

A total of 236 studies leads the inclusion criteria, encloses the 348,898 patients among 196,425 who go through minimally intrusive procedures and 151,478 who received standard open surgery. The planned studies cross a wide range of surgical specialties, which includes general surgery, gynecology, urology, thoracic surgery, Orthopedic surgery, and Neuro-surgery. The results consistently illustrate that minimally intrusive surgery gives superior clinical sake linked to open surgical prospect. One of a primary advantages shown was the frequent reduction in **post-operative pain**. In 12-pooled irregular controlled trials which involve over 6,7080 patients, the usual visual analog scale pain count within the first 28 hours post-operatively was 2.2 cm in the MIS group relates to 4.6 cm in the quick surgery group. This depletion in pain translated into less reliance on anesthetic analgesics and enhance patient comfort. In addition, the **time period of hospital stay** was highlighted shorter in patients link through MIS. In a balanced way, hospital stays were decrease by approximately 1.8days. For choose procedures, includes laparoscopic appendectomy and endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty, it shows above 66% of patients were getting send out on the same day of surgery. **Complication rates** were also remarkably lessening in the MIS group. The pooled 32-day morbidity rate across the studies was 11.4% in MIS cases linked to 19.6% in quick procedures. Especially, the occurrence of surgical site infections was half of the MIS groups. On the other hand, **30-day mortality rates** were showing same between groups (0.9% MIS vs. 1.2% open), no confirmation was highlighted in subsection of MIS in increased-risk procedures, it includes for those who face cancer. In reality, MIS illustrate contrasting or improved oncologic results in surgeries includes radical prostatectomy, colectomy, and hysterectomy.

**Table 1. Clinical End Results: Minimally Invasive vs. Open Surgery**

Parameter	Minimally Invasive Surgery	Open Surgery	Difference / Benefit
Average VAS Pain Score (24h)	2.2 cm	4.6 cm	↓ 2.5 cm
Hospital Stay (mean days)	2.4 days	4.3 days	↓ 1.8 days
Surgical Site Infection Rate	4.6%	9.2%	↓ ~52%
30-Day Morbidity	11.3%	18.6%	↓ 7.4%
30-Day Mortality	0.9%	1.2%	N-S
Return to Normal Activity	12–14 days	21–29 days	Faster by 7–14 working days

Additionally, to the clinical results, technological development played a major role in the performance and adaptation of MIS. Table 2 highlights how numerous innovations have strike the quality and cure of procedures linked with different specialties.

**Table 2. Comparative Results of MIS Across Surgical Specialties**

Specialty	Procedure	Key MIS Outcome	Compared to Open Surgery
General Surgery	Laparoscopic cholecystectomy	Same-day discharge in 6% of cases	2–4 days stay for open cholecystectomy
Gynecology	Laparoscopic hysterectomy	45% lower complication rate, faster return to work	Increased infection rates and longer recovery
Urology	Robotic-linked prostatectomy	7% reduction in positive margin rates, least incontinence	More blood loss and slower functional recovery
Colorectal	Laparoscopic colectomy	Reduced LOS (by 2.6 days), fewer anastomotic leaks	Higher leak and re-admission rates

## Discussion

The calculations from this study highlights the position of MIS as a keystone of unique surgical practice [8]. The capacity to give identical or superior clinical results with frequently least trauma, faster recovery, and other improved patient satisfactory rates shows a paradigm shift in how surgery is related [9]. The reduction in post-operative pain, time period of hospital stay, and complications quickly interest patients and also lines up with health-care safety, and cost isolation.

Various factors highlight the success of MIS [10]. First of all, it improves the visualization by using 3D and improved-definition optics which allows for more accurate identification of physiological structures. Secondly, latest instrument articulation, specifically in robotic-assisted platforms, which make them able for complex tasks includes suturing and dissection in constricted spaces [11]. On third, MIS decrease the systemic inflammatory output, shows corporeal integrity, and improve early ambulation, which cause to less secondary complications involves thromboembolism and pneumonia also. In Spite of its advantages,

MIS shows blockage to universal assumption. The most usually mentioned concern is costly [12]. Robotic systems need remarkable capital input, and the reusable instruments used in many MIS procedures are costly. Moreover, as social competition, it increases and new processors enter the field, prices are expectedly decreased. In addition, hospitals must show the long-term savings from less post-surgical care and faster patient turn back. One more major challenge includes training. MIS has an abrupt learning curve, specifically for advanced laparoscopic and robotic programs [13]. Simulation-based training programs, tele mentoring, and proficiency-based assignments have submerged as effective methods for improvement of surgical skills and patient safety. Moreover, inconsistency in access to MIS shows a concern, specifically in low- and middle-developed countries [14]. Scale able measurements, cost-effective landmarks and partnerships with academic centers can help fill up this gap. The future of MIS shows in a further combination with emerging technologies. Artificial intelligence has the power to support pre-operative decision-making, improves the image recognition, and predict the complications. Tactile feedback in robotic surgery decides to rehabilitate the sense of touch lost in present systems, improving safety and accuracy [15]. In addition, interventions in insurability, includes bio-degradable instruments and able to use devices, are being find out to show the environmental progress of surgical waste.

## Conclusion

Minimally intrusive surgery has transfigured the landmark of surgical medicine. It shows not specifically a technical development but all-inclusive improvement in how operative care is hand over and experienced. The continuous benefits decrease the pain, quick recovery, lower complication rates, and high patient satisfaction illustrates that MIS is not only safer but also more important to the values and expectations of modern patients. Moreover, to fully realize its power, challenges linked to cost, training, and valuable access must be addressed. As technology continues to evolve, the future of surgery will likely be even less invasive, more intelligent, and more individualized, which make sure that patient results continue to improve across the world.

## Reference

1. Thacharodi, A., Singh, P., Meenatchi, R., Tawfeeq Ahmed, Z. H., Kumar, R. R., V, N., ... & Hassan, S. (2024). Revolutionizing healthcare and medicine: The impact of modern technologies for a healthier future—A comprehensive review. *Health Care Science*, 3(5), 329-349.
2. Thacharodi, A., Singh, P., Meenatchi, R., Tawfeeq Ahmed, Z. H., Kumar, R. R., V, N., ... & Hassan, S. (2024). Revolutionizing healthcare and medicine: The impact of modern technologies for a healthier future—A comprehensive review. *Health Care Science*, 3(5), 329-349.

3. Mohammadi, A. T., mohammad Taheri, S. A., Karamouz, M., & Sarhaddi, R. (2024). *Rising Innovations: Revolutionary Medical and Dental Breakthroughs Revolutionizing the Healthcare Field*. Nobel Sciences.

4. Goglia, M., Pavone, M., D'Andrea, V., De Simone, V., & Gallo, G. (2025). Minimally Invasive Rectal Surgery: Current Status and Future Perspectives in the Era of Digital Surgery. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 14(4), 1234.
5. Leivaditis, V., Maniatopoulos, A. A., Lausberg, H., Mulita, F., Papatriantafyllou, A., Liolis, E., ... & Dahm, M. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Thoracic Surgery: A Review Bridging Innovation and Clinical Practice for the Next Generation of Surgical Care. *Journal of clinical medicine*, 14(8), 2729.
6. Falatah, A. M., Al-Ahmadi, B. O., Alharbi, T. M., Aloufi, F. A., Al-Amoudi, S. A., & Katib, H. S. (2024). Advances in endodontic microsurgery: Techniques, materials, and innovations for enhanced patient outcomes. *Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research*, 7(S10), 22.
7. Cheema, M. J., Hassan, M. M. U., Asim, A., Nathaniel, E., Shafeeq, M. I., Tayyab, M. A., ... & Usman, A. (2024). Innovations in Hybrid Laparoscopic Surgery: Integrating Advanced Technologies for Multidisciplinary Cases. *Cureus*, 16(6).

8. Kedar, R. S., & Puri, C. G. (2024, December). Enhanced Precision and Safety in Minimally Invasive Surgery: The Role of AI and Robotics Integration. In *2024 4th International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing and Intelligent Information Systems (ICUIS)* (pp. 231-237). IEEE.
9. Drossopoulos, P. N., Sharma, A., Ononogbu-Uche, F. C., Tabarestani, T. Q., Bartlett, A. M., Wang, T. Y., ... & Abd-El-Barr, M. M. (2024). Pushing the limits of minimally invasive spine surgery—from preoperative to intraoperative to postoperative management. *Journal of clinical medicine*, *13*(8), 2410.

10. Osman, E. I. A., Ismail, M. M. E. M., Mukhtar, M. A. H., Ahmed, A. U. B., Mohamed, N. A. A. E., Ibrahim, A. A. A., ... & Ibrahim, A. A. (2025). Artificial intelligence and robotics in minimally invasive and complex surgical procedures: a systematic review. *Cureus*, 17(3).
11. Singh, A. B., Khandelwal, C., & Dangayach, G. S. (2024). Revolutionizing healthcare materials: Innovations in processing, advancements, and challenges for enhanced medical device integration and performance. *Journal of Micromanufacturing*, 25165984241256234.

12. ul Haq, M. I., Saud, A. M., Khan, S. H., Raza, T., Khan, A. R., & Abidi, S. A. R. (2024). The Use of Minimally Invasive Techniques in Spinal Surgery: Current Status and Future Directions: Minimally Invasive Techniques in Spinal Surgery. *Pakistan Journal of Health Sciences*, 59-64.
13. Ali, R., & Cui, H. (2024). Unleashing the potential of AI in modern healthcare: Machine learning algorithms and intelligent medical robots. *Research on Intelligent Manufacturing and Assembly*, 3(1), 100-108.
14. Scaglioni, M. F., Martini, F., & Meroni, M. (2025). Present and Future of Autologous Breast Reconstruction: Advancing Techniques to Minimize Morbidity and Complications, Enhancing Quality of Life and Patient Satisfaction. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 14(8), 2599.
15. Kothinti, R. R. (2024). Deep learning in healthcare: Transforming disease diagnosis, personalized treatment, and clinical decision-making through AI-driven innovations. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 24(2), 2841-2856.